	Case 1:25-cv-00033-JLT-SAB Documer	nt 14 Filed 03/18/25	Page 1 of 2			
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	DAVID M. TRAVERS,	Case No. 1:25-cv-003	33 JLT SAB (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AN RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISM				
13	v.	ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
14	J. MACOMBER, et al.,	(Doc. 11)				
15	Defendants.	(200.11)				
16						
17	David Travers seeks to hold the defendants liable for violations of his civil rights and					
18	Proposition 57 while housed at the Substance Abuse Treatment Facility in Corcoran. (See					
19	generally Doc. 1.) The magistrate judge screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §					
20	1915A(a) and found Plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim. (Doc. 11 at 3-7.) In addition, the					
21	magistrate judge determined leave to amend would be futile "[b]ecause Plaintiff cannot state a					
22	claim as a matter of law." (Id. at 7.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court					
23	dismiss the action "for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted." (Id.)					
24	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that					
25	any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 11 at 7.) The Court advised Plaintiff that the					
26	"failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal."					
27	(Id. at 8, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838–39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Despite receiving					
28	an extension of time, Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.  1					
		•				

	Case 1:25-cv-00033-JLT-SAB	Document 14	Filed 03/18/25	Page 2 of 2	
1	According to 28 U.S.C. § 63	36(b)(1), the Cou	rt performed a de n	ovo review of the action	
2	Having carefully reviewed the matte	er, the Court con	cludes the Findings	s and Recommendations	
3	are supported by the record and by j	proper analysis.	Thus, the Court Ol	RDERS:	
4	1. The Findings and Re	commendations	issued on January	17, 2025 (Doc. 11) are	
5	ADOPTED in full.				
6	2. Plaintiff's complaint	Plaintiff's complaint is <b>DISMISSED</b> with prejudice for failure to state a claim.			
7	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.				
8					
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		O4 : 10	. 17	
10	Dated: March 17, 2025			TES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11			Olympid STA	TES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
20					